Project Review Report

This project review report includes findings raised during Verra’s review of the project specified below. The VVB must address the findings before the project request can be considered for approval by Verra. The project review report will be made publicly available on the Verra Registry. Confidential information may be provided in separate attachments.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project ID** | 2664 |
| **Project Name** | Installation of High Efficient Cook Stoves by EKI Energy Services Limited |
| **Review Type** | Verification Approval |
| **Program(s)** | VCS Program |
| **Verification Period** | 1 January 2022 to 31 December 2022 |
| **Project Proponent** | EKI Energy Services Limited |
| **Methodology**  | VMR0006: Methodology for installation of High efficiency firewood cookstoves (version 1. 1) |
| **VVB** | LGAI Technological Center, S.A. |
| **Assessment Criteria** | VCS Standard, version 4.4 |
| **Date of First Issue** | 31 March 2023 |
| **Review Conclusion** | 1 May 2023  |
| **Date of Final Issue** | 1 May 2023 |

**FINDINGS**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Finding Description** | **VVB Response** | **Status** |
| **1** | **Stakeholder feedback** |
|  | IssueIn section 2.2 of MR, the PP does not mention if they received any feedback from stakeholders during this monitoring period.Action Required1. The VVB must request the PP to clarify in section 2.2 of the MR if any stakeholder feedback was received during this monitoring period.
2. The VVB must update the VR to provide an assessment opinion.

Program Rule(s)VCS Standard (v4.4), section 3.18 | **Round 1** | Closed |
| PP ResponseFor the current monitoring period, PP has not received any feedback from the stakeholders. The same has now been mentioned in section 2.2 of the revised MR. VVB ResponseVVB has verified the grievance register and found that no feedback was received from the stakeholders. Detailed assessment in provided in the section 4.2.2 |
| Verra ResponseThank you for your response. This finding is closed. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Finding Description** | **VVB Response** | **Status** |
| **2** | **Date of commissioning of each cookstove** |
|  | IssueIn section 3.2.2 of MR, the PP mentions a deviation to remove the "date of commissioning of batch j". Since PP is considering each cookstove as an instance instead of a batch as an instance, the PP must monitor the date of commissioning of each cookstove instead.Action Required1. The PP must demonstrate that they monitor the date of commissioning of each cookstove distributed under the grouped project.
2. The VVB must ensure PP’s compliance with this finding and update the VR to provide an assessment opinion.
 | **Round 1** | Closed |
| PP ResponsePP has monitored the date of commissioning of each cookstove installed under the current project activity and the same is mentioned in the project database provided in the ERs calculation sheet. VVB ResponsePP has considered each cookstove as an instance and monitored the date of commissioning for each cookstove. Date of commissioning have been verified from the end user agreement and the project database submitted by the PP. Detailed assessment is provided in the section 1.4 of the VR. |
| Verra ResponseThank you for your response. This finding is closed. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Finding Description** | **VVB Response** | **Status** |
| **3** | **Households surveyed during the monitoring period** |
|  | IssueThe PP has not mentioned, either in the MR and/or identified in the ER spreadsheet, the households that were surveyed during this monitoring period.Action Required1. The VVB must request the PP to mention either in the MR and/or identify in the ER spreadsheet, the households that were surveyed during this monitoring period.
2. The VVB must update the VR to provide an assessment opinion.
 | **Round 1** | Closed |
| PP responsePP has already submitted the survey datasheet mentioning the details of surveyed households and the response obtained during the monitoring survey along with the other supporting documents to VVB. The same survey sheet can be submitted to VERRA mentioning the document as confidential.VVB ResponsePP has submitted the Monitoring survey sheet mentioning the households surveyed by the PP. Same was used to select the samples for VVB survey. Details are mentioned in the section 2.1 of the VR. |
| Verra ResponseThank you for your response. This finding is closed. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Finding Description** | **VVB Response** | **Status** |
| **4** | **Monitoring assessment and level of assurance** |
|  | IssueIn section 4.4 of the VR, the VVB did not describe how the key monitoring parameters were assessed without primarily relying on the PP's monitoring values or methods. Specifically, the VR does not describe how and what process the VVB used to determine any discrepancies between the VVB's assessment and the PP's monitoring surveys.Action RequiredThe VVB must update section 4.4 of the VR to describe how they:1. assessed the key monitoring parameters without primarily relying on the PP's monitoring values or methods in section 4.4 and
2. achieved a level of reasonable assurance with actual data points the VVB collected (not derived from PP's monitoring survey).

Program Rule(s)*VCS Standard, v4.4, Section 4.18* | **Round 1** | Closed |
| VVB ResponseMonitoring parameters values were assessed based on the acceptance sampling survey performed by the assessment team. Sample were interviewed during the on-site assessment and based on the acceptance sampling survey result, results from Monitoring survey performed by the PP have been verified and accepted which is in line with the CDM sampling guidelines and standard ensuring the required level of assurance. Section 4.2 and 4.4 of the verification report have been updated.Verra ResponseThank you for your response. This finding is closed. |